# The Success of Deep Generative Models

Jakub Tomczak

AMLAB, University of Amsterdam

PASC, 2018

**Decision making:** 

 $p(y|\mathbf{x})$ 

**Decision making:** 

 $p(y|\mathbf{x})$ 

High probability of the red label. = Highly probable decision! new data



**Decision making:** 

 $p(y|\mathbf{x})$ 

High probability of the red label. = Highly probable decision!





#### Understanding:

 $p(y, \mathbf{x}) = p(y|\mathbf{x}) \ p(\mathbf{x})$ 

#### Decision making:

 $p(y|\mathbf{x})$ 

#### High probability of the red label. = Highly probable decision!

#### new data



#### Understanding:

 $p(y, \mathbf{x}) = p(y|\mathbf{x}) \ p(\mathbf{x})$ 

High probability of the red label. x Low probability of the object = Uncertain decision!



What is generative modeling about?

**Understanding:** 

 $p(y, \mathbf{x}) = p(y|\mathbf{x}) \ p(\mathbf{x})$ 

finding underlying factors (**discovery**)

predicting and anticipating future events (planning)

finding analogies (transfer learning)

detecting rare events (anomaly detection)

#### decision making





#### Generative modeling: **How**?



#### Generative modeling: Auto-regressive models

General idea is to factorise the joint distribution:

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = p(x_1) \prod_{d=2}^{D} p(x_d | \mathbf{x}_{1:d-1})$$

and use neural networks (e.g., convolutional NN) to model it efficiently:



Van Den Oord, A., et al. (2016). Wavenet: A generative model for raw audio. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1609.03499*.

### Generative modeling: Latent Variable Models

We assume data lies on a low-dimensional manifold so the generator is:

$$\mathbf{x} = f_{\theta}(\mathbf{z})$$

where:

$$\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X} \text{ (e.g. } \mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}^D \text{ ) and } \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

Two main approaches:

- → Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs)
- → Variational Auto-Encoders (VAEs)



We assume a **deterministic generator**:

$$\mathbf{x} = G_{\theta}(\mathbf{z})$$

and a prior over latent space:

 $\mathbf{z} \sim p_{\lambda}(\mathbf{z})$ 



We assume a **deterministic generator**:

$$\mathbf{x} = G_{\theta}(\mathbf{z})$$

and a prior over latent space:

 $\mathbf{z} \sim p_{\lambda}(\mathbf{z})$ 

How to train it?



We assume a **deterministic generator**:

$$\mathbf{x} = G_{\theta}(\mathbf{z})$$

and a prior over latent space:

 $\mathbf{z} \sim p_{\lambda}(\mathbf{z})$ 

How to train it? By using a game!



We assume a **deterministic generator**:

$$\mathbf{x} = G_{\theta}(\mathbf{z})$$

and a prior over latent space:

 $\mathbf{z} \sim p_{\lambda}(\mathbf{z})$ 

#### How to train it? By using a game!

For this purpose, we assume a discriminator:

 $D_{\psi}(\mathbf{x}) \in [0, 1]$ 



The learning process is as follows:

- $\rightarrow$  the **generator** tries to **fool** the **discriminator**;
- $\rightarrow$  the **discriminator** tries to **distinguish** between the **real** and **fake** images.

We define the learning problem as a min-max problem:

$$\min_{\theta} \max_{\psi} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x} \sim p_{data}} \left[ \ln D_{\psi}(\mathbf{x}) \right] - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z} \sim p_{\lambda}(\mathbf{z})} \left[ \ln \left( 1 - D_{\psi}(G(\mathbf{z})) \right) \right]$$

In fact, we have a learnable loss function!



The learning process is as follows:

- $\rightarrow$  the **generator** tries to **fool** the **discriminator**;
- $\rightarrow$  the **discriminator** tries to **distinguish** between the **real** and **fake** images.

We define the learning problem as a min-max problem:

$$\min_{\theta} \max_{\psi} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x} \sim p_{data}} \left[ \ln D_{\psi}(\mathbf{x}) \right] - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z} \sim p_{\lambda}(\mathbf{z})} \left[ \ln \left( 1 - D_{\psi}(G(\mathbf{z})) \right) \right]$$

In fact, we have a learnable loss function!

#### $\rightarrow$ It learns high-order statistics.



#### Pros:

- $\rightarrow$  we don't need to specify a likelihood function;
- $\rightarrow$  very flexible;
- $\rightarrow$  the loss function is trainable;
- $\rightarrow$  perfect for data simulation.

#### Cons:

- $\rightarrow$  we don't know the distribution;
- $\rightarrow$  training is highly unstable (min-max objective);
- $\rightarrow$  missing mode problem.

We assume a stochastic generator (decoder) and a prior:

 $\mathbf{z} \sim p_{\lambda}(\mathbf{z})$  $\mathbf{x} \sim p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z})$ 

Additionally, we use a variational posterior (encoder):

 $\mathbf{z} \sim q_{\phi}(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x})$ 

How to train it? Using the log-likelihood function!

$$\ln p(\mathbf{x}) \ge \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z} \sim q_{\phi}(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x})} \Big[ \ln p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}) \Big] - \mathrm{KL} \Big[ q_{\phi}(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}) || p_{\lambda}(\mathbf{z}) \Big]$$

Kingma, D. P., & Welling, M. (2013). Auto-encoding variational bayes. arXiv preprint arXiv:1312.6114. (ICLR 2014)





Tomczak, J. M., & Welling, M. (2016). Improving variational auto-encoders using householder flow. *NIPS Workshop 2016.* Berg, R. V. D., Hasenclever, L., Tomczak, J. M., & Welling, M. (2018). Sylvester Normalizing Flows for Variational Inference. *UAI 2018*. Tomczak, J. M., & Welling, M. (2017). VAE with a VampPrior. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1705.07120*. (*AISTATS 2018*) Davidson, T. R., Falorsi, L., De Cao, N., Kipf, T., & Tomczak, J. M. (2018). Hyperspherical Variational Auto-Encoders. *UAI 2018*.

#### **Pros:**

- $\rightarrow$  we know the distribution and can calculate the likelihood function;
- $\rightarrow$  we can encode an object in a low-dim manifold (compression);
- $\rightarrow$  training is stable;
- $\rightarrow$  no missing modes.

#### Cons:

- $\rightarrow$  we need know the distribution;
- $\rightarrow$  we need a flexible encoder and prior;
- $\rightarrow$  blurry images (so far...).

### Recent successes: Image generation



Karras, T., Aila, T., Laine, S., & Lehtinen, J. (2017). Progressive growing of gans for improved quality, stability, and variation. *ICLR 2017*.

### Recent successes: Reinforcement learning





Ha, D., & Schmidhuber, J. (2018). World models. arXiv preprint. arXiv preprint arXiv:1803.10122.

### Recent successes: Audio generation



van den Oord, A., & Vinyals, O. (2017). Neural discrete representation learning. *NIPS 2017*.

#### Recent successes: Drug discovery



Gómez-Bombarelli, R., et al. (2018). Automatic Chemical Design Using a Data-Driven Continuous Representation of Molecules ACS Cent. Kusner, M. J., Paige, B., & Hernández-Lobato, J. M. (2017). Grammar variational autoencoder. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1703.01925*.

### Recent successes: Style transfer



Zhu, J. Y., Park, T., Isola, P., & Efros, A. A. (2017). Unpaired image-to-image translation using cycle-consistent adversarial networks. CVPR 2017.

### Recent successes: Text generation



(a) VAE training graph using a dilated CNN decoder.



- **1 star** the food was good but the service was horrible . took forever to get our food . we had to ask twice for our check after we got our food . will not return .
- **2 star** the food was good, but the service was terrible. took forever to get someone to take our drink order. had to ask 3 times to get the check. food was ok, nothing to write about.
- **3 star** came here for the first time last night . food was good . service was a little slow . food was just ok .
- **4 star** food was good, service was a little slow, but the food was pretty good. i had the grilled chicken sandwich and it was really good. will definitely be back !
- 5 star food was very good, service was fast and friendly. food was very good as well. will be back !



(a) Yahoo

(b) Yelp

Yang, Z., Hu, Z., Salakhutdinov, R., & Berg-Kirkpatrick, T. (2017). Improved variational autoencoders for text modeling using dilated convolutions. ICML 2017

### Recent successes: Physics (interacting systems)





Kipf, T., Fetaya, E., Wang, K. C., Welling, M., & Zemel, R. (2018). Neural relational inference for interacting systems. *ICML 2018*.

Generative	mode	ling:	the
------------	------	-------	-----

way to go to achieve AI.

Deep generative modeling: very successful in recent years in many domains.

Two main approaches: **GANs** and **VAEs**.

#### Generative modeling: the

way to go to achieve AI.

Deep generative modeling: very successful in recent years in many domains.

Two main approaches: **GANs** and **VAEs**.

#### Generative modeling: the

way to go to achieve AI.

Deep generative modeling: very successful in recent years in many domains.

# Two main approaches: GANs and VAEs.

#### Generative modeling: the

way to go to achieve AI.

Deep generative modeling: very successful in recent years in many domains.

# Two main approaches: GANs and VAEs.

## Code on github:

https://github.com/jmtomczak

Webpage: http://jmtomczak.github.io/

# **Contact**: jakubmkt@gmail.com



Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions

The research conducted by Jakub M. Tomczak was funded by the European Commission within the Marie Skłodowska-Curie Individual Fellowship (Grant No. 702666, "Deep learning and Bayesian inference for medical imaging").